

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,954	06/27/2001	Nicole S. Carpenter	BUR920000141US1	3823	
29505	7590 05/05/2003				
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC			EXAMINER		
121 WHITNEY AVENUE NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			WINTER, C	WINTER, GENTLE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,	1746		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/892,954	CARPENTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Gentle E. Winter	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sh et with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	pril 2003 .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>11-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		. Francisco				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		,				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No.				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior of the pr</li></ul>	ity documents have been i eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has be	en received.				
Attachment(s)	, , ,	<del> </del>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1746

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, and energy: sonic; sacrificial coating material: curable polymer in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.
- 2. The traversal to the restriction requirement on the ground(s) that "a search pertaining to one of the above-identified groups would necessarily encompass subject matter of the other group and separate searches of each group would be unwarranted and duplicative" has been considered. The arguments are not found persuasive because, while there may be some overlap between the groups, searching the separate inventive groups would be an undue burden on the examiner and would not be "unwarranted and duplicative".
- 3. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 4. As to the election of species, applicant's assertions effectively overcome the election of species requirement. In asserting that the species are not independent and distinct, applicant stipulates that the species are obvious variants of each other. As such, the search cannot be unduly burdensome, and all the claims of Group I are treated on the merits. See MPEP 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/892,954

Art Unit: 1746

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States

  Patent No. 4,178,188 to Dussault et al. disclosing an apparatus for removing contaminants from a semiconductor wafer by applying a film of liquid solvent to an exposed wafer surface while the wafer is in rotation (centrifugal) and ultrasonic energy is applied to the liquid film (and indirectly to the wafer) and removing the liquid solvent (with entrained contaminants) from the wafer.

  (Abstract).
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, and 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 5,690,749 to Lee (Lee).
- 7. Lee reads on claim 1 in the following manner. A method for removing contaminate particulate matter (24) from a contaminate particle containing substrate surface (12) comprising the steps of: applying a sacrificial coating of a material (16) to a substrate surface (12) containing undesirable particulate matter thereon (24), which material is to encapsulate and suspend the undesirable particles therein; applying energy i.e. "applying pressure to the tape" (see e.g. column 4, line 25 et seq., especially 40) to the coated substrate to dislodge at least some of the particulate matter (24) from the surface of the substrate into the sacrificial coating (16) such that the particulate matter is partially or fully encapsulated and suspended within the sacrificial

Art Unit: 1746

coating forming a particulate matter containing sacrificial material coating; and removing the particulate matter containing sacrificial material coating from the substrate surface providing a substrate surface having less particulate matter thereon.

- 8. As to claim 2, disclosing that the substrate is a semiconductor wafer. The same is disclosed throughout, see e.g. see e.g. column 1, line 1.
- 9. As to claims 3, 6, and 10 disclosing that the sacrificial coating material is a fluid or a liquid. Fluid encompasses liquid and liquid is construed broadly, as "being neither solid nor gaseous". The adhesive disclosed is flowable, and conforms to the surface to be cleaned. See e.g. figure 7 and relevant associated text.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee and United States Patent No. 5,120,369 to Malotky. Each and every limitation of claims 7 and 8 are disclosed in Lee as set forth above with respect to claim 1, except that Lee apparently fails to explicitly disclose that the sacrificial coating material is a curable polymer, and is formed into a

Application/Control Number: 09/892,954

Art Unit: 1746

film. Malotky explicitly discloses the missing element and provides the motivation for making the combination. Malotky discloses a method wherein a tailor-made polymer film is applied to a surface for the purpose of immobilizing contaminating particles. The polymer is disclosed to take up the undesirable materials by solution, absorption adsorption and hold such undesirable materials in solid suspension with subsequent stripping of the polymeric material. Further, the polymer material is disclosed to preferably be one that is capable of being cross linked and applied by conventional spraying, brushing or other coating mechanisms. Thus the missing elements are disclosed. The artisan would have been motivated to make the instant combination because the lower viscosity polymer will more effectively encapsulate contaminants, and upon curing (crosslinking) securely bind such contaminants, while simultaneously allowing for the removal of the applied polymer and associated undesired particles. See abstract and see e.g. column 2, line 65 et seq. and column 4, line 60 et seq. As to claim 9, when the polymer is

11. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee and US PGPub 20020189635 to Bodet et al.

sprayed onto the inclined surface it will inherently flow and, to the extent contaminants are

present will pick them up. The artisan would have been motivated to incline the surface to

facilitate easier coating, and easier access to the surface.

12. Each and every limitation of claims 4 and 5 are disclosed in Lee as set forth above with respect to claim 1, except that Lee apparently fails to explicitly disclose the use of sonic energy and vibrational energy. Bodet discloses a method of cleaning a substrate, the method including a first step of applying a solution onto the substrate with the and vibrating the solution/substrate.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/892,954

Art Unit: 1746

The ultrasonic energy facilitates the release of the deposits from the surface. The artisan would

have been motivated to make the instant combination in an attempt to maximize the interfacial

contact between contaminants and the cleaner/coating, and with higher viscosity

coatings/solutions to ensure better conformal coating.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gentle E. Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3403.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (703) 308-4333. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. The direct fax number for

this examiner is (703) 746-7746.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gentle E. Winter

Examiner

Art Unit 1746

May 1, 2003

RANDY GULAKOWSKI

Page 6

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700**